

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
16/2004	Gregory J. LaRosa	10448-215011 / MPI98-129C	1309
06/19/2006		EXAMINER	
N PC		CROWDE	R, CHUN
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1644	
		DATE MAILED: 06/19/2006	5
1	06/19/2006 N PC	06/19/2006 N PC	MP198-129C  06/19/2006  N PC  CROWDE  55440-1022  ART UNIT  1644

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 worm.upple.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,454	10/826,454 04/16/2004		Gregory J. LaRosa	10448-215011 / 1309 MPI98-129C	
8933	7590	05/22/2006		EXAMINER	
DUANE	MORRIS,	LLP		CROWDE	R, CHUN
IP DEPA	RTMENT				
30 SOUT	H 17TH ST	REET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADI	PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-4196			1644	
				DATE MAILED, 05/22/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)  LAROSA ET AL.	
	10/826,454		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chun Crowder	1644	
<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication app eriod for Reply</li> </ul>			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from . cause the application to become ABANDONE!	i. ely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This  3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-43 are subject to restriction and/or of	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this Nationa	l Stage
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F	ate	O-152)
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		- :==

Application/Control Number: 10/826,454 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The instant application appears to be in sequence compliance for patent applications containing nucleotide sequence and/or amino acid sequence disclosures.

2. Claims 1-43 are pending

#### Election/Restriction

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-10 and 37-42, drawn to an antibody or antigen binding fragment which binds to a CC-chemokine receptor 2 (CCR2) and a composition comprising an antibody or antigen-binding fragment thereof, classified in Class 530, subclass 387.1; Class 424, subclass 133.1.
  - II. Claim 11, drawn to a test kit comprising at least one antibody or antigenbinding fragment thereof which binds to CCR2, and one or more ancillary reagents, classified in Class 435, subclass 810.
  - III. Claims 12-20, 25, 26, 33-36, and 43, drawn to a method of inhibiting the interaction of a cell bearing mammalian CCR2 with a ligand, classified in Class 424, subclass 130.1.
  - IV. Claims 21-24, drawn to a method of detecting a mammalian CCR2 comprising contacting a sample with an antibody or antigen-binding fragment which binds to a mammalian CCR2, classified in Class 435, subclass 355.
  - V. Claims 27-32, drawn to a method of detecting or identifying an agent which binds a mammalian CCR2, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.1.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,454 Page 3

Art Unit: 1644

4. Inventions I and II are related as products, which share an alleged common utility of detecting the presence of a mammalian CCR2 but the common utility is not linked to a substantial structural feature. The products in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the products encompass embodiments that are not required to perform the common utility or (2) that the products as claimed can be used to perform another utility.

In this case, the antibody can be used for affinity purification in addition to be included in a test kit for detecting the presence of a mammalian CCR2.

It is noted that Group II encompass a test kit comprising <u>at least one</u> antibody or antigen-binding fragment thereof and <u>one or more ancillary reagents</u>. It is not clear what other antibodies and ancillary reagents are encompassed in the test kit, in addition to antibody or antigen-binding fragment that binds a mammalian CCR2. For restriction purpose, claim 11 is grouped separate from Group I.

5. Inventions (I and III-V) and (II and IV/V) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h).

In the instant case, the antibody can be used in affinity purification in addition to method of detecting the mammalian CCR2.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,454 Page 4

Art Unit: 1644

6. Inventions III-Vare different methods. The methods differ with respect to one or more of ingredients, method steps, and/or endpoints; therefore, each method is patentably distinct. Furthermore, the distinct ingredients, method steps, and/or endpoints require separate and distinct searches. As such, it would be burdensome to search these Inventions together.

7. These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above. In addition, they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by different classification and/or recognized divergent subject matter. Further, a prior art search also requires a literature search. It is an undue burden for the examiner to search more than one invention. Therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

### Species Election

- 8. Applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to (1) elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claims is finally held to be allowable and (2) list all claims readable thereon including those subsequently added.
- 9. If either one of Groups I-V is elected, applicant is further required to elect <u>one</u> specific antibody or antigen-binding fragment thereof that inhibits binding of <u>one specific</u> ligand to the receptor (e.g. MCP-1 as recited in claim 5).

These species are distinct because their structures, physicochemical properties and mode of action are different. Further, the examination of these species would require different searches in the scientific literature. As such, it would be burdensome to search these Species together.

Art Unit: 1644

Applicant is required under 35 USC 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merit to which the claims would be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

10. If Groups III is elected, applicant is further required to elect <u>one specific</u> method of inhibiting <u>one specific cell type</u> (e.g. CD8<sup>+</sup> cells as recited in claims 14 and 19).

These species are distinct because methods of inhibiting different cell types differ with respect to one or more of ingredients, method steps and/or endpoints.

Furthermore, the examination of the different ingredients, method steps and/or endpoints would require different searches in the scientific literature. As such, it would be burdensome to search these Species together.

Applicant is required under 35 USC 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merit to which the claims would be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

11. In addition, if Group III is elected, applicant is further required to elect <u>one</u> specific method of treating <u>one specific disorder</u> (e.g. HIV as recited in claims 22 and 33).

These species are distinct because the pathological conditions differ in etiologies and therapeutic endpoints; thus each condition represents patentably distinct subject matter.

Applicant is required under 35 USC 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merit to which the claims would be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Art Unit: 1644

12. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

13. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Art Unit: 1644

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. *Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder*.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 14. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 15. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Art Unit: 1644

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chun Crowder whose telephone number is (571) 272-8142. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (571) 272-0841. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chun Crowder, Ph.D.
Patent Examiner
May 1, 2006

PHILLIP GAMBEL, PH.D JD PRIMARY EXAMINER